| • | | |
|-------|---------------------------------|---|
| | | |
| | | आयुक्त (अपील) का कार्यालय, |
| | | Office of the Commissioner (Appeal), |
| | TATULE . | केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अपील आयुक्तालय, अहमदाबाद 🛛 🖤 MARKET |
| | | Central GST, Appeal Commissionerate, Ahmedabad |
| | सत्यमेव जयते | जीएसटी भवन, राजस्व मार्ग, अम्बावाड़ी अहमदाबाद ३८००१७. |
| | | CGSI' Bhavan, Revenue Marg, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad 380015 07926305065- टेलेफैक्स07926305136 |
| - | | SW00000ECEC |
| | <u>रजिस्टर्ड डाक ए.डी</u> | |
| | क फाइल सं | ख्या : File No : GAPPL/ADC/GSTP/109/2022 - APPEAL /H257 -62 |
| | | देश संख्या Order-In-Appeal Nos. AHM-CGST-001-APP-ADC-145/2022-23 Date : 03-11-2022 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue : 04-11-2022 |
| | श्री मि | हेर रायका_ अपर आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित |
| | Passe | d by Shri. Mihir Rayka, Additional Commissioner (Appeals) |
| · | ग Arising issued | out of Order-in-Original No. ZS2403210424382 DT. 30.03.2021 by Deputy Commissioner, CGST & CX, Division-V, Ahmedabad South |
| | ध | ff का नाम एवं पता Name & Address of the Appellant / Respondent M/s. Active Engineers, Plot No. 236/237, Road No.2, Kathwada GIDC, Kathwada, Ahmedabad-382430 |
| (A) | ாரிக்சா | (अपील) से व्यथित कोई व्यक्ति निम्नलिखित तरीके में उपयुक्त प्राधिकारी / के समक्ष अपील दायर कर सकता है। n aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate authority in the vay. |
| (i) | National B where one | ench or Regional Bench of Appellate Tribunal framed under GST Act/CGST Act in the cases of the issues involved relates to place of supply as per Section 109(5) of CGST Act, 2017. |
| (ii) | State Ben mentioned | ch or Area Bench of Appellate Tribunal framed under GST Act/CGST Act other than as in para- (A)(i) above in terms of Section 109(7) of CGST Act, 2017 |
| (iii) | | the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed as prescribed under Rule 110 of CGST Rules, 2017 and companied with a fee of Rs. One Thousand for every Rs. One Lakh of Tax or Input Tax Credit or the difference in Tax or Input Tax Credit involved or the amount of fine, fee or penalty of in the order appealed against, subject to a maximum of Rs. Twenty-Five Thousand. |
| (B) | document | der Section 112(1) of CGST Act, 2017 to Appellate Tribunal shall be filed along with relevant s either electronically or as may be notified by the Registrar, Appellate Tribunal in FORM GST common portal as prescribed under Rule 110 of CGST Rules, 2017, and shall be accompanied of the order appealed against within seven days of filing FORM GST APL-05 online. |
| (i) | (i) | be filed before Appellate Tribunal under Section 112(8) of the CGST Act, 2017 after paying - Full amount of Tax, Interest, Fine, Fee and Penalty arising from the impugned order, as is admitted/accepted by the appellant, and |
| . K.) | (ii) A s | sum equal to <u>twenty five per cent</u> of the remaining amount of Tax in dispute, indispute, i |
| (11) | The Centr provided | al Goods & Service Tax (Ninth Removal of Difficulties) Order, 2019 dated 03.12.2019 has that the appeal to tribunal can be made within three months from the date of communication or date on which the President or the State President, as the case may be, of the Appellate nters office, whichever is later. |
| (C) | the second | लीय प्राधिकारी को अपील दाखिल करने से संबंधित व्यापक, विस्तृत और नवीनतम प्रावधानों के तार्थी विभागीय वेबसाइटwww.cbic.gov.in को देख सकते हैं। |
| | For oloho | rate, detailed and latest provisions relating to filing of appeal to the appellate authority, the |
| L | trum and a | |
| i Le | n na star na star na star | The second secon |
| 1 | 1 | |

.

 \bigcirc

ORDER-IN-APPEAL

Brief Facts of the Case :

M/s. Active Engineers, Plot No. 236/237, Road No. 2, Kathwada GIDC, Kathwada, Ahmedabad 382 430 (hereinafter referred as 'Appellant') has filed the present appeal against Order No. ZS2403210424382 dated 30.03.2021 passed in the Form-GST-RFD-06 (hereinafter referred as 'impugned order') rejecting refund claim of Rs.4,23,000/-, issued by the Deputy Commissioner, CGST & C. Ex., Division – V Odhav, Ahmedabad South (hereinafter referred as 'adjudicating authority').

Briefly stated the fact of the case is that the appellant 2(i). registered under GSTIN 24AAKFA8192P1ZS has filed refund claim application for Rs.4,23,000/- on account of Refund by Supplier of deemed export for the period November 2020. The appellant was issued show cause notice reference No. ZS2403210129537 dated 09.03.2021 proposing refund application is liable to be rejected for the reason "Other". A Remark was also mentioned in the SCN that "LUT/BOND NOT PROVIDED. SUPPLIES MADE DO NOT FALL UNDER SECTION 147 OF CGST ACT". Further, the 'Appellant' was asked to furnish reply to the SCN within 15 days from the date of service of SCN and a personal hearing was also offered to the 'Appellant' on 16.03.2021. Thereafter, the adjudicating authority has rejected the entire refund claim vide impugned order. A remark is mentioned in the impugned order as "REPLY OF SCN NOT MADE/ NOT VISIBLE".

2(ii). Being aggrieved with the impugned order the appellant has filed the present appeal on dated 03.12.2021 on the following grounds :

Refund Application rejected without considering documents attached in Form GST RFD – 09 Reply of SCN. Department issued SCN on 09.03.2021 and we have replied with all supporting documents on 13.03.2021. However, refund rejected by the department with remark as "Reply of SCN Not made / Not visible".

In view of above submissions the appellant makes prayer to grant them the refund and issue Payment Advice.

3. Personal Hearing in the matter was held on 07. wherein Sh. Harendra V. Dave, Advocate appeared on behalf

'Appellant' as authorized representative. During P.H. he has stated that they have nothing more to add to their written submission till date. Simultaneously, the appellant has also submitted the written submissions on 07.10.2022, wherein stated that –

they have filed appeal on 03.12.2021 under Section 107(1) of the CGST Act, 2017 against the order dated 30.03.2021. In this regard, referred order dated 10.01.2022 of Hon'ble Supreme Court in Misc. Application No. 21 of 2022. The present appeal is quasi judicial proceedings and so the period of benevolence of exclusion of limitation from 15.03.2020 to 28.02.2022 would be available to them.

they filed refund application under Rule 89(1) of the CGST Rules, 2017
 in FORM GST RFD 01 along with relevant documents. Thereafter, SCN
 was issued to them that refund is liable to be rejected for the reasons
 that LUT/Bond not provided and the Supplies made do not fall under
 Section 147 of the CGST Act, 2017.

 In response to said SCN they have submitted LUT/Bond vide Reply in Form GST RFD-09 dated 13.03.2021. At the time of submission of refund application, they had submitted various documents evidencing Act, 2017
 Act. They had submitted sales register, purchase register, GSTR 1 & GSTR 3B of November 2020, undertaking in Form A, Electronic Credit & Cash ledgers of November 2020, Ledger account of customer, Statement 5B as per Rule 89(2)(g) and CA Certificate as per Rule 89(2)(m).

As per Sl. No. 3 of Notification No. 48/2017-Central Tax dated 18.10.2017 "Supply of goods by a registered person to Export Oriented Unit" shall be considered as Deemed Export. The supply made by them to M/s. Ambica Polymers Private Limited (100% EOU) falls under purview of notification. Relevant documents in this regard already submitted with refund application GST RFD 01.

- The evidences/documents which are required to be produced by supplier of deemed export supplies as per Notification No. 49/2017-Central Tax dated 18.10.2017 were already submitted with refund application.
- However, the Ld. Deputy Commissioner failed to appreciate the reply filed by them in response to SCN and rejected the claim of refund.

Discussion and Findings :

ロー・習

 $|\cdot|_{1}$

4(i). I have carefully gone through the facts of the case available on records, submissions made by the 'Appellant' in the Appeals

and die einer



Memorandum as well as additional submission. I find that the 'Appellant' had preferred the refund application "On account of Refund by Supplier of deemed export" under Rule 89(1) of the CGST Rules, 2017. In response to said refund applications Show Cause Notice was issued to them proposing rejection of refund claims for the reasons "LUT/BOND NOT PROVIDED. SUPPLIES MADE DO NOT FALL UNDER SECTION 147 OF CGST ACT". Thereafter, the said refund claim was rejected by the adjudicating authority vide impugned order. I find that in the impugned order a remark is also mentioned as - "REPLY OF SCN NOT MADE/ NOT VISIBLE".

4(ii). In view of foregoing facts, I find that the refund claim is rejected for the reason that either the *appellant* failed to furnish reply of SCN or it is not visible to the *adjudicating authority*. However, I find that the *appellant* has produced the copy of reply submitted by them in Form-GST RFD-09 in response to SCN in question. On carefully going through the said reply I find that the *appellant* has furnished the reply on 13.03.2021 in respect of SCN No. ZS2403210129537 dated 09.03.2021 along with required documents.

4(iii). Further, I find that in the SCN it was alleged that the relevant supplies do not fall under Section 147 of the CGST Act, 2017. I find that in this regard the *appellant* in the present appeal has submitted that as per SI. No. 3 of Notification No. 48/2017-Central Tax dated 18.10.2017 the supplies made by them to M/s. Ambica Polymers Private Limited (100% EOU) falls under purview of notification; therefore it is to be considered as Deemed Export. Accordingly, I find it pertinent to refer the relevant provisions and notifications. The same are reproduced in *verbatim* as under :

Section 147 of the CGST Act, 2017 :

* Section 147. Deemed exports.-

The Government may, on the recommendations of the Council, notify certain **supplies** of goods as deemed exports, where goods supplied do not leave India, and payment for such supplies is received either in Indian rupees or in convertible foreign exchange, if such goods are manufactured in India.

• Notification No. 48/2017-Central Tax dated 18.10.2017 :

Notification No. 48/2017-Central Tax

New Delhi, the18thOctober, 2017

G.S.R. (E).-In exercise of the powers conferred by **section 147** of the Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 (12 of 2017), the Central Government, on the recommendations of the Council, hereby notifies the supplies of goods listed in column(2) of the Table below **as deemed exports**, namely:-

| | Table |
|--------|---|
| S. No. | Description of supply |
| (1) | (2) |
| 1. | Supply |
| 2. | Supply |
| 3. | Supply of goods by a registered person to Export Oriented Unit |
| 4. | Supply |

Explanation -

For the purposes of this notification, –

1. "Advance Authorisation".....

2: Export Promotion

3. "Export Oriented Unit" means an Export Oriented Unit or Electronic Hardware Technology Park Unit or Software Technology Park Unit or Bio-Technology Park Unit approved in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 6 of the Foreign Trade Policy 2015-20.

san bi até até ké

• <u>Rule 89 (1) of the CGST Rules, 2017</u> :

Rule 89. Application for refund of tax, interest, penalty, fees or any other amount.-

(1) Any person, except the persons covered under notification issued under <u>section</u> <u>55</u> claiming refund of ¹³[any balance in the electronic cash ledger in accordance with the provisions of sub-section (6) of <u>section 49</u> or] any tax, interest, penalty, fees or any other amount paid by him, other than refund of integrated tax paid on goods exported out of India, may file electronic cash ledger in accordance with the provisions of sub-section (6) of section 49 or ¹⁰[subject to the provisions of rule 10B,] an application electronically in **FORM GST RFD-01** through the common portal, either directly or through a Facilitation Centre notified by the Commissioner:

H[****] we a st date of a straight and a start of the sta

¹⁵[Provided that] in respect of supplies to a Special Economic Zone unit or a Special Economic Zone developer, the application for refund shall be filed by the -

(a) supplier of goods after such goods have been admitted in full in the Special Economic Zone for authorised operations, as endorsed by the specified officer of the

L. Zone; Anglin humbrid all and an and a

(b) supplier of services along with such evidence regarding receipt of services for authorised operations as endorsed by the specified officer of the Zone:

¹[¹⁶[Provided further that] in respect of supplies regarded as deemed exports, the application may be filed by,

(a) the recipient of deemed export supplies

e Cherry

an.

genu (sim sul fin thursdi in

an innaland e since

o Mar cărelo 🖡

(b) the supplier of deemed export supplies in cases where the recipient does not avail of input tax credit on such supplies and furnishes an undertaking to the effect that the supplier may claim the refund]

• <u>Para 41 of CBIC's Circular No. 125/44/2019-GST dated</u> <u>18.11.2019</u>:

Guidelines for refund of tax paid on deemed exports

41. Certain supplies of goods have been notified as deemed exports vide notification No. 48/2017-Central Tax, dated 18-10-2017 under section 147 of the CGST Act. Further, the third proviso to rule 89(1) of the CGST Rules allows either the recipient or the supplier to apply for refund of tax paid on such deemed export supplies. In case such refund is sought by the supplier of deemed export supplies, the documentary evidences as specified in notification No. 49/2017-Central Tax, dated 18-10-2017 are also required to be furnished which includes an undertaking that the recipient of deemed export supplies shall not claim the refund in respect of such supplies and shall not avail any input tax credit on such supplies. Similarly, in case the refund is filed by the recipient of deemed export supplies, an undertaking shall have to be furnished by him stating that refund has been claimed only for those invoices which have been detailed in statement 5B for the tax period for which refund is being claimed and that he has not availed input tax credit on such invoices. The recipient shall also be required to declare that the supplier has not claimed refund with respect to the said supplies. The procedure regarding procurement of supplies of goods from DTA by Export Oriented Unit (EOU)/Electronic Hardware Technology Park (EHTP) Unit/Software Technology Park (STP) Unit/Bio-Technology Parks (BTP) Unit under deemed export as laid down in Circular No. 14/14/2017-GST, dated 6-11-2017 [2017 (6) G.S.T.L. C13] needs to be complied with.

On carefully going through the above legal provisions, I find that certain supplies of goods are notified as deemed exports vide notification No. 48/2017-Central Tax, dated 18-10-2017 under section 147 of the CGST Act and the third proviso to rule 89(1) of the CGST Rules, 2017 allows the refund of tax paid on such deemed export supplies.

4(iv). Considering the foregoing facts, I find that in the present matter the refund claim is solely rejected on the ground that *"REPLY OF SCN NOT MADE/ NOT VISIBLE"*. Whereas, I find that the appellant has produced the copy of Form - GST RFD-09 and according to which the appellant has furnished reply on 13.03.2021

6

No. ZS2403210129537 dated 09.03.2021 along with required documents. However, I find that the adjudicating authority has rejected the refund application on the ground that either reply to SCN not made or not visible. In this regard, I have referred the Rule 92(3) of the CGST Rules, 2017, same is reproduced as under :

7

(3) Where the proper officer is satisfied, for reasons to be recorded in writing, that the whole or any part of the amount claimed as refund is not admissible or is not payable to the applicant, he shall issue a notice in FORM GST RFD-08 to the applicant, requiring him to furnish a reply in FORM GST RFD-09 within a period of fifteen days of the receipt of such notice and after considering the reply, make an order in FORM GST **<u>RFD-06</u>** sanctioning the amount of refund in whole or part, or rejecting the said refund claim and the said order shall be made available to the applicant electronically and the provisions of subrule (1) shall, mutatis mutandis, apply to the extent refund is in allowed in that has no an

Provided that no application for refund shall be rejected without giving the applicant an opportunity of being heard.

some is reproduced as longer.

In view of above legal provisions, if the proper officer is of the view that whole or any part of refund is not admissible to the applicant he - deel in 1 shall issue notice to the applicant and after considering the reply of applicant he can issue the order. However, in the present matter the adjudicating, authority, has issued the impugned order without considering the reply of appellant. Further, I find that "no application for refund shall be rejected without giving the applicant an opportunity of being heard". In the present matter, on going through copy of SCN, I find that opportunity of Personal Hearing was provided to the 'Appellant' on 16.03.2021 vide SCN dated 09.03.2021. However, no such evidence available on records that Personal Hearing was conducted. Therefore, I find that the impugned order is issued without being heard the 'Appellant' and without considering the reply of the 'Appellant' in Form GST RFD 09.

WHEN YOU IN ANY PART PARTY

5. In view of above, I find that the adjudicating authority has violated the principle of natural justice in passing the impugned order vide which rejected the refund claim without considering appellant's reply and without being heard the appellant as well as without communicating the valid or legitimate reasons before passing said order. Further, I am of the view that proper speaking order should have been passed by giving proper opportunity of personal hearing in the matter to the 'Appellant' and

性品的变化的现在分词使用于使用的变形的

detailing factors leading to rejection of refund claim should have been discussed. Else such order would not be sustainable in the eyes of law. Therefore, the *adjudicating authority* is hereby directed to process the refund application of the *appellant* by following the principle of natural justice. Needless to say, since the claim was rejected on the ground of non submission of reply, the admissibility of refund on merit is not examined in this proceeding. Therefore, any claim of refund filed in consequence to this Order may be examined by the appropriate authority for its admissibility on merit in accordance with the Rule 89 of the CGST Rules, 2017 read with Section 54 of the CGST Act, 2017.

6. In view of above discussions, the *impugned order* passed by the *adjudicating authority* is set aside for being not legal and proper and accordingly, I allow the appeal of the "*Appellant*" without going into merit of all other aspects, which are required to be complied by the claimant in terms of Section 54 of the CGST Act, 2017 read with Rule 89 of the CGST Rules, 2017. The '*Appellant*' is also directed to submit all relevant documents/submission before the *adjudicating authority*.

अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।
 The appeal filed by the appellant stands disposed of in above terms.

Millir Rayka)

Additional Commissioner (Appeals)



Attested (Dilip Jadav)

Superintendent (Appeals) Central Tax, Ahmedabad

By R.P.A.D.

To, M/s.Active Engineers, Plote No. 236/237, Road No. 2, Kathwada GIDC, Kathwada, Ahmedabad 382 430

Copy to:

- 1. The Principal Chief Commissioner of Central Tax, Ahmedabad Zone.
- 2. The Commissioner, CGST & C. Ex., Appeals, Ahmedabad.
- 3. The Commissioner, CGST & C. Ex., Ahmedabad-South.
- 4. The Deputy/Assistant Commissioner, CGST & C. Ex, Division-V Odhav, Ahmedabad South.
- 5. The Additional Commissioner, Central Tax (System), Ahmedabad South.
- Guard File.
 P.A. File

